

THE MOHAMMEDAN SCOURGE

"[ISIS] speaks for no religion. Their victims are overwhelmingly Muslim, and no faith teaches people to massacre innocents. No just God would stand for what they did yesterday and what they do every single day... We will do everything we can to protect our people and the timeless values we stand for."

President Obama¹

President Obama is both right and wrong. He is right in condemning those who would seek in religion to justify their conduct in committing murder ; he is right in appealing to the demands of a just God. He is wrong in asserting that no faith teaches people to massacre the innocent.

Almighty God has laid out in His revelation the condemnation of murder and of murderers. The Ten Commandments are simply a statement in summary form of the natural law whose mandates He has imbedded in the heart of every member of the human race and whose imperatives a man ignores at his peril. In contrast to the only true faith, that established by Almighty God, the faith founded by Mohammed *does* allow its followers to massacre the innocent. That religion is grounded in compulsion and in violence and has been so since its inception. St Thomas Aquinas remarked of it in the thirteenth century—

"Mohammed seduced the people by promises of carnal pleasure to which the concupiscence of the flesh goads us... [He] said he was sent in the power of his arms, which are signs not lacking even to robbers and tyrants... Those who believed in him were brutal men and desert wanderers, utterly ignorant of all divine teaching, through whose numbers Mohammed forced others to become his followers by the violence of his arms... [H]e perverts almost all the testimonies of the Old and New Testaments by making them into fabrications of his own, as can be seen by anyone who examines his law. It was, therefore, a shrewd decision on his part to forbid his followers to read the Old and New Testaments, lest these books convict him of falsity. It is thus clear that those who place any faith in his words believe foolishly."²

Nothing has changed, and it is at once naïve and misleading for world leaders, among whom we include the popes, cardinals and bishops of the Catholic Church, to assert or to imply the contrary.

It is customary among the politically correct to deprecate the Church's inspiration of the Crusades which, beginning in the eleventh century, drove a flourishing Christianity to endeavour to regain the Holy Land from the Mohammedans who had invaded it in the seventh century. The same historical revisionism would reject the licitness of the struggles of the Iberian peoples in removing from their peninsula the heirs of the Mohammedans who had invaded them in the eighth century. The *Reconquista* took almost 1,000 years. It appeals to the modern historian, similarly, to denigrate the efforts

¹ President Barack Obama, 21 August 2014 on the beheading by Syrian Muslim militants of American journalist James Foley.

² *Summa Contra Gentiles* Bk. 1, 6 [4]

of the peoples of the European nations under the direction of the popes in successfully repulsing the Ottoman Turks after the battle of Lepanto.

It is no accident that the western world should be feeling the depredations of Mohammedanism in the present age. The scourge is a consequence of the folly of its rejection of God and the duties owed Him as Creator and conservator of the universe and the rejection of the religion He founded and established on earth for the good of mankind, Catholicism. If men will not acknowledge the true God and His majesty and the light and easy burden of His rule, they must suffer the draconian effects of a religion which honours a false God. Remarkable to relate, the expansion of this folly was aided by the popes and the bishops of God's Church when they endorsed at the Second Vatican Council a Masonic (i.e., derivatively Protestant) attitude towards the other religions of the world even as they sought to abandon the Church's claim to be the one true religion on earth.³

The effect of this betrayal of principle, apart from diminishing the Church's standing in the eyes of the world, was to foster an attitude favorable to Masonic principle, embracing religious indifferentism (which predisposes to atheism) and endorsing the Masonic denial of the benefits that flow to the people of any country that embraces the great influence for good of God's Holy Church.⁴ The historical revisionism referred to is but a symptom of this consequent atheism

Its underlying subjectivism has been severely shaken by events. People are beginning to see that the enterprise of the Church down the centuries in opposing Mohammedanism and its adherents was driven not merely by concern for the Catholic faith but the common good of mankind. President Obama's appeal to "the timeless values we stand for" is an appeal to principles established by the Catholic Church and, though the way in which those values are now practised in the United States and elsewhere owes much to Masonic distortion, they remain Catholic principles.

There will be no return to right order until we have a pope capable of reiterating the rightful claim of Catholicism to be the only true religion on earth and relegating every other religion, including Mohammedanism, to the category of mere human opinion.

Michael Baker

3rd September 2014—*St Gregory the Great, Pope and Doctor of the Church*

³ They *said* that they were retaining the Church's claim as the one true religion on earth. What they *did*, however, was to contradict that principle. In 2005, Cardinal Biffi remarked prior to the conclave to elect the successor to Pope John Paul II about the comments of an elderly, devout, religious sister on the death of the late Pope that he 'was great above all because he taught us that all religions are equal'—"I don't know whether John Paul II would have been very pleased by this sort of elegy". Yet the sentiments Papa Wojtyla expressed were but those of the Second Vatican Council in which he had been a moving force.

⁴ Because it brings society into conformity with the religion founded by society's Creator. The dismantling of the protocols between the Church and many Catholic nations that followed the Council is testimony to this folly, the subsequent abandonment by their peoples of sound moral and social doctrine is its proof.